J. Are the LECs' Relocation Provisions Reasonable?59

1. <u>LECs are to Describe Their Policy Regarding Advance</u>
Notice to Interconnectors That the LEC Intends to
Relocate the Interconnector's Space or Equipment.
LECs Without Any Notice Provision Should Explain
Why.⁶⁰

While there is no need to include a specific policy in the tariff regarding advance notice to the interconnector that the LEC intends to relocate the interconnector's space or equipment, six months advance notice would be adequate if SWBT is required to insert such tariff language. SWBT does not currently have wording describing this unusual situation for its access services customers and has had no reason to include this wording in the past. SWBT will work cooperatively with each interconnector, as it does with its customers, to determine reasonable notification requirements. (See, Section 2.1.4 of SWBT's Access Tariff).

2. LECs are to Describe the Conditions Under Which They Will Require That an Interconnector's Space or Equipment Be Moved and Justify Why Such a Provision is Reasonable. 61

Although rare, following are examples of situations in which an LEC could require that an interconnector's space or equipment be moved:

- 1) Catastrophic events such as fires, floods, etc.
- 2) Consolidation of Bridging and Hubbing office
- 3) Change of an office to remote

These situations are just examples; it would be difficult, if not impossible, to list all possible conditions in the tariff.

⁵⁹ Designation Order at p. 32.

⁶⁰ Designation Order at p. 33.

⁶¹ Designation Order at p. 33.

3. LECs are to Specify the Conditions Under Which They Will or Will Not Charge the Interconnector for the Relocation of the Interconnector's Facilities. 62

SWBT specifies in its Tariff at Section 25.2(B)(1) that it will be responsible for preparation of new space at no charge to the interconnector when SWBT determines it is necessary to relocate the interconnectors facilities. (See, Subsection J.2. supra).

- K. Are the LECs' Insurance Provisions Reasonable?63
 - 1. <u>LECs are to Justify the Level of Insurance Required</u> by Their Tariffs. 44

The insurance requirements of SWBT concerning expanded interconnection are no more excessive or onerous that those imposed by SWBT on other entities. The same requirements have been in place since August, 1987 and can be found in SWBT's Operating Practices. These requirements apply equally to everyone who conducts any type of work operation on SWBT's premises.

2. <u>LECs That Do Not Allow Interconnectors to Self-Insure Under Any Circumstances are to Explain the Reasons For Their Policy.</u> 65

As noted by the <u>Designation Order</u>, SWBT will consider allowing interconnectors to self insure. 66 This decision will be made on a case-by-case basis.

^{62 &}lt;u>Designation Order</u> at p. 33.

⁶³ Designation Order at p. 33.

⁶⁴ Designation Order at pp. 35-36.

⁶⁵ Designation Order at p. 36.

^{66 &}lt;u>Designation Order</u> at para. 59.

3. <u>LECs That Require Interconnectors to Use Underwriters With Particular Rating Levels are to Explain Why.</u> 67

As stated in SWBT's Reply Comments⁶⁸, SWBT's requirements are not greater than those imposed on contractors performing central office work operations, for or on SWBT's behalf. The A.M. Best Rating of A+VII is required because of the continuous nature of interconnectors' operations and access to SWBT's premises by interconnectors' subcontractors, thus increasing the potential for loss or damage.

4. <u>LECs Requiring Proof That an Interconnector's Insurance is Effective at a Certain Time are to Explain Why.</u> 69

SWBT requires proof that an interconnector has obtained insurance because insurance is a mechanism to ensure SWBT that the interconnector is financially capable to put SWBT in a pre-loss condition if SWBT's property is damaged, or its employees are injured as a result of interconnectors' operations.

⁶⁷ Designation Order at p. 36.

⁶⁸ <u>See</u>, SWBT's Reply Comments <u>In the Matter of Southwestern</u> <u>Bell Telephone Company</u>, Tariff FCC No. 73, Transmittal No. 2260, filed April 5, 1993.

^{69 &}lt;u>Designation Order</u> at p. 36.

- L. Are the LECs' Liability Provisions Reasonable?70
 - 1. LECs are to Explain Why Tariff Language Concerning an Interconnector's Right of Action Against a LEC For Negligence, gross negligence, willful conduct, or intentional harm, is Reasonable.71

Section 2 of SWBT's Tariff F.C.C. No. 73 contains rules and regulations pertaining to its customers for access services. (See 2.1.3, <u>Liability</u> of SWBT's Tariff) This language applies to both interconnectors and SWBT's access customers alike.⁷²

- M. Are the LECs' Provisions Regarding Whether to Bill From Their State or Interstate Expanded Interconnection Tariffs Reasonable? 73
 - 1. LECs are to Discuss Whether the Use of the Ten Percent Rule to Determine the Jurisdictional Nature of the Service is Reasonable. How Should the Ten Percent Rule Apply to Collocation Tariffs. 74

SWBT's tariff (<u>See</u>, Section 2.4.2 <u>Certification</u> <u>Requirements</u>) specifies that expanded interconnection should be classified as interstate when it carries more than ten percent interstate traffic. This treatment is consistent with the way Special Access lines (including High Capacity (DS1) services and MegaLink Custom Services (DS3s)) are treated in accordance with Section 36.154(a) of the Commission's Rules. Since Special Access services are the only services to which Expanded Interconnection

⁷⁰ Designation Order at p. 36.

⁷¹ <u>Designation Order</u> at p. 37.

⁷² The current language in SWBT's tariff arises from the language analyzed in CC Docket No. 83-1145. <u>See</u>, Investigation of Access and Divestiture Related Tariffs (Part 1 of 2) 97 F.C.C. 2d 1082 (1984) at Appendix D.

⁷³ Designation Order at p. 38.

⁷⁴ <u>Designation Order</u> at p. 38.

applies, the use of the ten percent threshold is consistent with the Commission's rules.

- N. <u>Are the LECs' Provisions Regarding Letters of Agency Reasonable?</u>
 - 1. <u>Is it Reasonable For LECs to Refuse to Honor Letters of Agency Allowing an Interconnector's Customers to Negotiate Services With a LEC on the Interconnector's Behalf?</u>
 - 2. Should LECs State in Their Tariffs That They Will Accept an Order for End-to-End Service Which Includes a Request to Install the Cross-Connect to the Interconnector's Space, When the Order is Placed by an Interconnector's Customer Using a Letter of Agency From the Interconnector. Parties are to Explain Their Answers.

In response to the above two issues, SWBT has no wording concerning Letters of Agency (LOAs) in its tariff. However, SWBT currently honors LOAs when submitted by its end user customers allowing IXCs to order for them. In these situations, the end user is billed for the access services ordered on its behalf by the IXC.

Should interconnectors request their end user customers to provide SWBT with an LOA requesting SWBT to install the interconnection cross connects along with requested access services, there should be no change in existing procedures. SWBT would bill the interconnector the charges for the access services ordered on their behalf by the end user customer including the interconnection cross connect charge.

⁷⁵ Designation Order at p. 39.

⁷⁶ <u>Designation Order</u> at p. 40.

 $^{^{77}}$ Designation Order at p. 40.

SWBT has not included specific tariff wording on LOA procedures in the past and has no reason to do so for expanded interconnection.

3. Should LEC Tariffs State That They Will Bill Charges For the Special Access Cross-Connect Rate Elements and Subtending End-Link Services to Third Parties Specified by the Customers When Ordering the Services.78

This issue is covered in subsection N.2, supra.

- O. Are the LEC Provisions Regarding Inspections of Interconnectors Space and Facilities Reasonable? 79
 - 1. LECs are to Explain Their Provisions Governing Inspection of Interconnectors' Space and Facilities, Including Who Must Pay For Such Inspections. 80

discussed As the Designation Order, in 25.2(B)(1)(g) of SWBT's Expanded Interconnection tariff provides for inspections of the interconnector's partitioned space. The interconnector is not required to pay for such inspections. The only instance in which any additional costs would be imposed on the interconnector is if any violation (safety or network) is found and the interconnector must incur costs to remedy the situation. Additional provisions regarding inspection of the interconnector's partitioned space are contained in the Expanded Interconnection Technical Publication for Collocation with SWBT, Issue 1, June 1993, Section 4.B.2.4 (attached as Appendix 4). This treatment is also consistent with the treatment of outside vendor installations

⁷⁸ Designation Order at p. 40.

⁷⁹ Designation Order at p. 40.

⁸⁰ Designation Order at p. 42.

as provided in SWBT's Installation Guide TP 76300 for protection of building and equipment security.

- 2. Should LECs be Permitted to Include Provisions Regarding the Payment of Taxes and Similar Assessments by Interconnectors?
 - a. Any LEC With Such a Provision is to Explain Why it is Reasonable. 82

SWBT's Expanded Interconnection tariff does not have such a provision.

II. CONCLUSION.

For the foregoing reasons, SWBT respectfully requests that the Bureau end the investigation and suspension of SWBT's expanded interconnection tariffs and allow them to take effect as originally filed, adjusted by GSF reallocation.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

Bv

Robert M. Lynch Richard C. Hartgrove Thomas A. Pajda

Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone Company

One Bell Center, Suite 3520 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 235-2507

August 20, 1993

Besignation Order at p. 43.

⁸² Designation Order at p. 43.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Joseph Meier, hereby certify that the foregoing "Direct Case of Southwestern Bell Telephone, In CC Docket No. 93-162, has been served this 20th day of August, 1993 to the Parties of Record.

August 20, 1993

Andrew D. Lipman Russell M. Blau Swidler & Berlin, Chartered Counsel for METROPOLITAN FIBER SYSTEMS, INC. 3000 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007

ITS, Inc. 1919 M Street , N.W. Room 246 Washington, D.C. 20554

Tariff Division Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 518 Washington, D.C. 20554 (2 copies)

ARKANSAS PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Samuel Loudenslager 1000 Center Street Little Rock, Arkansas 72203

Floyd S. Keene Brian R. Gilomen Attorneys for AMERITECH SERVICES, INC. 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Mary Newmeyer ALABAMA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION One Court Square, Suite 321 Montgomery, Alabama 36104

Richard Rubin Fleischman and Walsh, P.C. Counsel for ASSOCIATED COMMUNICATIONS CORP. 1400 Sixteenth Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

Deborah A. Dupont ASSOCIATION FOR LOCAL TELE-COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 1050 Washington, D.C. 20036

Michael Lowe
Lawrence W. Katz

BELL ATLANTIC TELEPHONE COMPANIES

1710 H Street, N.W.

D C 20006

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMONICALION,
4300 Southern Bell Center
675 West Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30375 Michael Lowe

Helen A. Shockey BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. Carol Sulkes CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY 8745 Higgins Road Chicago, Illinois 60631 Genevieve Morelli
General Counsel
COMPETITIVE TELECOMMUNICATIONS
ASSOCIATION
1140 Connecticut Ave. N.W
Suite 220.
Washington, D.C. 20036-4001

Lawrence P. Keller
Director-Federal Regulatory
Department
CONTEL CORPORATION
245 Perimeter Center Parkway
Atlanta, Georgia 30348

Raymond G. Bender, Jr.
John S. Logan
Attorneys for
CYBERTEL CORPORATION
1255 Twenty-Third Street, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20037

Richard McKenna GTE SERVICE CORPORATION 600 Hidden Ridge; E03J36 Irving, Texas 75038 John W. Pettit
Neal M. Goldberg
Hopkins & Sutter
Attorneys for
GENERAL COMMUNICATION, INC.
888 Sixteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Ed Laken, Vice President
FIRST COMMERCIAL FINANCIAL
GROUP, INC.
30 S. Wacker, Suite 2020
Chicago, IL 60606

Thomas J. Casey
Jay L. Birnbaum
Skadden, Arps, Slate,
Meagher & Flom
FMR CORP.
1440 New York Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

R. Craig Roos
LOCAL AREA TELECOMMUNICATIONS,
INC.
17 Battery Place
Suite 1200
New York, NY 10004-1256

Larry A. Blosser
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS
CORPORATION
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Douglas E. Neel
MESSAGEPHONE, INC.
5910 N. Central Expressway
Suite 1575
Dallas, Texas 75206

Martin E. Freidel
MIDAMERICAN LONG DISTANCE
COMPANY
7100 W Center Road, Suite 300
Omaham NE 68106-2723

William E. Wyrough, Jr. FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0861

Paul Rodgers
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY
UTILITY COMMISSIONERS
1102 ICC Building
Washington, D.C. 20044

Stanley J. Moore Counsel for PACIFIC BELL AND NEVADA BELL 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 Daryl L. Avery
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
450 Fifth Street, N.W.
Suite 815
Washington, D.C. 20001

Janice E. Kerr
Counsel for the
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIF.
AND THE PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Lisa M. Zaina
OPASTCO
21 Dupont Circle, NW,
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

Michael Yourshaw
William B. Baker
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
Counsel for
TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Jack A. Pace TELESPHERE-WILTEL 655 W Grand Avenue Suite 300 Elmhurst, Illinois 60126-1006 Josephine S. Trubek ROCHESTER TELEPHONE COMPANY Rochester Tel Center 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, New York 14646-0700

Joseph Murphy Operations Manager TRACK DATA CORP. 327 S. LaSalle, Suite 1535 Chicago, Illinois 60604

Ellen S. Deutsch Robert C. Lopardo Thelen, Marrin, Johnson & Bridges Counsel for TACONIC AND FORT BEND TELEPHONE CO. Washington, D.C. 20036-4001 805 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005-2207

Jeffrey L. Sheldon UTILITIES TELECOMMUNICATIONS COUNCIL 1140 Connecticut Ave, N.W., Room 1140

Dana A. Rasmussen Lawrence E. Sarjeant U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

Jay C. Keithley UNITED TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. Vice President, Law/External Affrs. 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1110 Washington, D.C. 20036

Eric Fishman Counsel for WILLIAMS TELECOMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC. 1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert C. Glazier INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 901 State Office Building Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Ann Kenkener PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43266-0573

Charles H. Thompson PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN 477 Hill Farms, State Office Bldg. Madison, Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin

Marilyn Moore MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 6545 Mercantile Way Lansing, Michigan 48909 William Baskett
Frost & Jacobs
Counsel for
CINCINNATI BELL TELEPHONE CO.
2500 Central Trust Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

B.B. Knowles
GEORGIA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
244 Washington Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Rochelle D. Jones
THE SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND
TELEPHONE COMPANY
227 Church Street
New Haven, Connecticut 06506

Roy L. Morris
ALLNET COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES,
INC.
1990 M Street, N. W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036

Hollis G. Duensing
THE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN
RAILROADS
50 F Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

Francine J. Berry
R. Steven Davis
Roy H. Hoffinger
AMERICAN TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH
295 North Maple Avenue
Room 3244J1
Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

Lewis J. Paper Robert F. Aldrich Keck, Mahin & Cate CELLULAR SERVICE, INC. 1201 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3919

Debra L. Lagapa
Morrison & Foerster
CALIFORNIA BANKERS CLEARING
HOUSE ASSOCIATION AND THE
NEW YORK CLEARING HOUSE ASSOC.
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 5500
Washington, D.C. 20006

Randolph J. May
Richard S. Whitt
Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan
COMPUSERVE INCORPORATED
1275 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

John B. Lynn
EDS CORPORATION
1331 Pennyslvania Ave., N.W.
Suite 1331, North Office Tower
Washington, D.C. 20004

Joe D. Edge Hopkins & Sutter GENERAL COMMUNICATION, INC. 888 16th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Allie B. Latimer
Vincent L. Crivella
Michael J. Ettner
Attorneys for
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
18th & F Streets, N.W.
Room 4002
Washington, D.C. 20405

Herbert E. Marks
David Alan Nall
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey
IDCMA
1201 Pennyslvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20044

Andrew D. Lipman
Richard M. Rindler
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
INDIANA DIGITAL ACCESS, INC.
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007

Angela Burnett
Assistant General Counsel
INFORMATION INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION
555 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20001

Brian R. Moir
Fisher, Wayland, Cooper &
Leader
INTERNATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS
ASSOC.
1255 23rd Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20037-1170

John P. Kelliher
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
180 North LaSalle St.
Suite 810
Chicago, Illinois 60601

Jeffrey J. Milton
INSTITUTIONAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMPANY
1410 Spring Hill Road #300
McLean, VA 22102-3002

Robert A. Mazer
Nixon, Hargrave, Devans &
Doyle
THE LINCOLN TELEPHONE &
TELEGRAPH COMPANY
One Thomas Circle, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005

MetroComm 50 West Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 Richard A. Askoff NECA, INC. 100 South Jefferson Road Whippany, New Jersey 07981

David Cosson
Steven E. Watkins
NATIONAL TELEPHONE
COOPERATIVE ASSOC.
2626 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

William J. Cowan
NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT
OF PUBLIC SERVICE
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

Patrick A. Lee Joseph DiBella NYNEX TELEPHONE COMPANIES 120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, NY 10605 Joseph C. Harkins, Jr.
PENN ACCESS CORPORATION
Centre City Tower
650 Smithfield Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15222-3907

Irwin A. Popowsky
PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE OF
CONSUMER ADVOCATE
1425 Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Stuart Dolgin PCNS-ONE OF NEW YORK 17 Battery Place Suite 1200 New York, NY 10004-1256

Paul J. Berman Covington & Burling PUERTO RICO TELEPHONE CO. 1201 Pennyslvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20044 Eric Fishman
Sullivan & Worcester
LONG DISTANCE NORTH
1025 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Margot Smiley Sumphrey
Koteen & Naftalin
TDS TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP.
1150 Connecticut Ave.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mark S. Hayward
CHIEF COUNSEL FOR ADVOCACY
OF THE U.S. SMALL BUSINESS
ADMIN.
409 3rd Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20416

Leon M. Kestenbaum
H. Richard Juhnke
US SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS
CO. LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
1850 M Street, N.W.
11th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

Edward C. Addison
VIRGINIA STATE CORPORATION
COMMISSION STAFF
P. O. Box 1197
Richmond, Virginia 23209

Michael L. Glaser Holme Roberts & Owen TELEPORT DENVER LTD. 1700 Lincoln, Ste. 4100 Denver, Colorado 80203 Martin T. McCue U.S. TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION 900 19th St., N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-2105

Andrew D. Lipman
Jonathan E. Canis
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
LOCAL AREA TELECOMMUNICATIONS,
INC.
3000 K Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007

John F. Sturm
Senior Vice President
Government, legal and Policy
Newspaper Association of America
11600 Sunrise Valley Drive
Reston, Virginia 22091

Elizabeth A. Kushibab Attorney for Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Michael D. Lowe Lawrence W. Katz Attorneys for The Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies 1710 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 C. Dean Kurtz VP-Regulatory Policy Central Telephone Company 8745 Higgins Road Chicago, Illinois 60631

William D. Baskett III
Thomas E. Taylor
David S. Bence
Christopher J. Wilson
Attorneys for Cincinnati Bell
Telephone Company
Frost & Jacobs
2500 Central Trust Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Dennis Mullins
Vincent L. Crivella
Michael J. Ettner
General Services Administration
18th & F Streets, N.W., Room 4002
Washington, D.C. 20405

Darrell S. Townsley Special Assistant Attorney General Illinois Commerce Commission 160 North LaSalle Street Suite C-800 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Edwin H. Eichler Pigeon Telephone Company 7585 West Pigeon Road Pigeon, MI 48755 Theodore D. Frank
Vonya B. McCann
Attorneys for Central Telephone
Company
Arent, Fox, Kintner, Plotkin
& Kahn
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-5339

Danny E. Adams
Jeffrey S. Linder
Attorneys for Competitive
Telecommunications Association
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Gail L. Polivy GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Herbert E. Marks
David Alan Nall
Radhika V. Karmarkar
Attorneys for Independent Data
Communications Manufacturers Assoc.
Inc.
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
P.O. Box 407
Washington, D.C. 20044

Andrew L. Regitsky Senior Manager MCI Telecommunications Corp. 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

James P. Tuthill Jeffrey B. Thomas Pacific Bell & Nevada Bell 140 New Montgomery St., Room 1522-A San Francisco, California 94105

James L. Wurtz Pacific Bell & Nevada Bell 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Robert C. Atkinson Senior VP-Regulatory and External Affairs Teleport Communications Group, Inc. Kansas City, MO. 64112 1 Teleport Drive, Suite 301 Staten Island, N.Y. 10311

W. Richard Morris United Telephone Companies P.O. Box 11315

Kathryn Marie Krause Attorney for U S WEST Communications, Inc. 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

Joseph W. Miller Attorney for Wiltel, Inc P.O. Box 21348 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74121

Peter A. Rohrback Karis A. Hastings Hogan & Hartson Attorneys for Wiltel, Inc. 555 13th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

James S. Blaszak Charles C. Hunter Attorneys for Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee Gardner, Carton & Douglas 1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 900 - East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005

Ellen Deutsch Senior Counsel Electric Lightwave, Inc. 8100 N.E. Parkway Drive Suite 200 Vancouver, WA. 98662

Pierson and Tuttle Attorneys for Association for Local Telecommunications Service 1200 19th Street, N.W. Suite 607 Washington, D.C. 20036

Michael L. Glaser
Joseph P. Benkert
K. Harsha Krishnan
Hopper and Kanouff, P.C.
Attorneys for TELEPORT DENVER LTD.
1610 Wynkoop St., Suite 200
Denver, Colorado 80202

Heather Burnett Gold
President
Association for Local
Telecommunications
Services
1200 19th Street, S.W.
Suite 607
Washington, D.C. 20036

William Page Montgomery
Economics and Technology, Inc.
Economic Consultant for AD HOC
TELECOMMUNICATIONS USERS COMMITTEE
One Washington Mall
Boston, Massachusetts 02108-2603

Randy R. Klaus Sr. Staff Member 701 Brazos Street, Suite 600 Austin, Texas 78701

TARIFF REVIEW PLAN FOR EXPANDED INTERCONNECTION

SUPPLEMENTAL PAGES FOR TARIFF REVIEW PLAN

CLASSIFICATION OF EXPANDED INTERCONNECTION (COLLOCATION) INITIAL CONSTRUCTION AND NONRECURRING TARIFF PAYMENT AMOUNTS

All collocation initial construction amounts will be charged to the appropriate plant account field reporting code (FRC) or function code (FC) associated with the activity performed (e.g., 10C, 357C). In addition, a unique Custom Work Order (CWO) number will be charged for each central office. The CWO number will drive these initial construction charges to control Account 9708.3, Custom Work - Construction for Expanded Interconnection. The nonrecurring tariff payments corresponding with collocation initial construction will be credited to Account 9708.3 and the CWO number for the appropriate central office.

Because a fixed tariff price will be charged, gains and losses will result at individual central offices. When all requests for collocation at a given central office have been constructed and billed, that CWO will be closed and the gain or loss transferred to Account 7160.4, Other Operating Gains and Losses - Completed Construction for Expanded Interconnection.

Therefore, Account 9708.3 will reflect the net of initial construction costs and nonrecurring reimbursements at all central offices with collocation initial construction activities in progress, and Account 7160.4 will reflect the net gain or loss for all central offices with completed collocation initial construction activities.

The rationale for Part 32 Section 32.2000(2) (the general section for the 2XXX plant accounts) states, "Contributions in the form of money or its equivalent toward the construction of telecommunications plant shall be credited to the accounts charged with the cost of such construction. Amounts of nonrecurring reimbursements based on the cost_of plant or equipment furnished in rendering service to a customer shall be credited to the accounts charged with the cost of the plant or equipment".

Part 32 Section 32.5999(g) (the general section for the 6XXX expense accounts) states, "Reimbursements of actual costs incurred in connection with . . . obligations to make changes in telecommunications plant shall be credited to the accounts originally charged".

The nonrecurring tariff payments in question are designed to recover the in-place costs of the initial collocation construction. As such, they should be credited to the FRCs and FCs originally charged with the costs of construction. Capitalizing the initial construction costs and depreciating over the asset life while reflecting the reimbursement as revenue received in the current period would create a mismatch between revenues and expenses. Utilizing Account 9708 to accumulate construction costs and tariff reimbursements prior to reflecting gain or loss rather than

charging and later crediting the plant accounts prevents interim recovery from ratepayers through depreciation expense for amounts that will be reimbursed by interconnectors. It also prevents account balance fluctuations due to timing differences between when costs are incurred and tariff amounts are billed.

As stated, recovering variable initial construction costs through fixed nonrecurring tariff rates will result in gains or losses at individual central offices. These gains and losses must be netted in order to monitor the adequacy of the rates charged. Gains can not be taken to income and losses (unrecovered construction costs) capitalized to the plant accounts. Gains can not be credited to the plant accounts, because credit-balance retirement units would be inappropriate on the actual cost continuing property record. Gains and losses must therefore be reflected in an income account. Account 7160, Other Operating Gains and Losses, is the appropriate choice.

PARTITIONED ELEMENTS

	ELEMENT	TYPE	FUNCTION		COST	RATE
(F)	DS1 INTERCN. ARRG	RECURRING	DS1 CROSS CONNECTION CABLE AND CABLE SUPPORT FUNCTION TERMINATION EQUIPMENT FUNCTION		\$7.82 \$54.70	\$16.71 \$115.27
			~	TOTAL	\$62.52	\$131.98
(F)	DS1 INTERCN. ARRG	NONRECURRIN	DS1 CROSS CONNECTION CABLE AND CABLE SUPPORT FUNCTION TERMINATION EQUIPMENT FUNCTION		\$1,258.35 \$8,806.35	\$1,258.00 \$8,807.00
			-	TOTAL	\$10,064.69	\$10,065.00
(G)	DS3 INTERCN. ARRG	RECURRING	DS3 CROSS CONNECTION CABLE AND CABLE SUPPORT FUNCTION		\$6.89	\$23.04
			TERMINATION EQUIPMENT FUNCTION	TOTAL	\$233.71 \$240.60	\$781.96 \$805.00
(G)	DS3 INTERCN. ARRG	NONRECURRING	DS3 CROSS CONNECTION CABLE AND CABLE SUPPORT FUNCTION TERMINATION EQUIPMENT FUNCTION		\$1,109.69 \$38,127.62	\$1,110.00 \$38,127.00
				TOTAL	\$39,237.31	\$39,237.00
(Q) T	'AC - SMALL	NONRECURRING	COMMON CONSTRUCTION FUNCTION		\$21,790.00	\$21,790.00
			ENTRANCE FACILITY INSTALLATION FUNCTION SECURITY INSTALLATION FUNCTION	mama t	\$2,815.00 \$11,741.00	\$2,815.00 \$11,741.00
				TOTAL	\$36,346.00	\$36,346.00
(Q) T	AC - MEDIUM	NONRECURRING	COMMON CONSTRUCTION FUNCTION ENTRANCE FACILITY INSTALLATION FUNCTION		\$30,265.00 \$8,706.00	\$30,235.00 \$8,706.00
			SECURITY INSTALLATION FUNCTION	TOTAL	\$14,130.00 \$53,101.00	\$15,130.00 \$54,071.00
(Q)	PAC - LARGE	NONRECURRING	COMMON CONSTRUCTION FUNCTION		\$41,686.00	\$41,686.00
			ENTRANCE FACILITY INSTALLATION FUNCTION SECURITY INSTALLATION FUNCTION		\$24,605.00 \$5,196.00	\$24,605.00 \$5,196.00
				TOTAL	\$71,487.00	\$71,487.00

INSTRUCTIONS FOR TARIFF REVIEW PLAN

ROW INSTRUCTIONS:

- (1) LECs may add more rows, if needed.
- (1) LECs may add more rows, if needed.
 (2) Row 1: Total of Rows 2 through 20.
 (3) Row 23: Find Cost of Money (Percentage) = i by substituting i into the following equation:
 Row #22 = @pmt (Row #1, i, Row #1 / Row #21) Row #21
 (4) Row 26: Total of Rows 27 through 32.
 (5) Row 34: Total of Rows 35 through 50.
 (6) Row 51: Sum of Rows 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 33, 34.
 (7) Row 52: Row 51 / 12
 (8) Row 55: (Row 53 * 12) / Sum (Rows 21, 22, 24, 25, 33)
 (9) Row 56: Row 53 / Row 52